SHAPES

OF

LIGHT



rimadesio-wien.at

SHAPES

OF



Rimadesio



































MODERNITY

Ρ

IN PURSUIT OF A NEW LIFESTYLE.

STEFANO SALIS IN CONVERSATION WITH GIUSEPPE LUPO.

TalkingAbout 2023 MILANO, 23,11,2023

tion launching this series on Modernity – a keyword of the 20th century – I ran defend modernity's primacy over all that preceded it, we must set aside into an interesting book in the second-hand bookstore next to my house. the misconceptions that surrounded it in the 20th century. We must declare By Roberto Vacca, one of Italy's most eminent futurologists, it is a book I its inalienable existence. We must overcome the temptation to feel nostalgia read when it first came out. In the 1970s and 80s, Vacca authored several for a past that appears perfect only in hindsight. And, at the same time, we

books that convincingly described possible futures. Il Medio Evo prossimo venturo (published in 1974 as The Coming Dark Age) duce and the respect that our planet asks of us. A human-centered approach attracted a following with its speculation on dystopian post-technology to technology, without shadows and without prejudice. scenarios and foreshadowed environmental and social issues that, at the description is enough to convey the heart of the matter.

same age: Picasso's Les Demoiselles d'Avignon and a 1907 Ford. Whoever Often, it was colored by ideological bias, leading to confusion. sees them cannot help but notice something. The car appears hopelessly antiquated: a technology that was groundbreaking at the time but today is of their fundamental continuity. A corrosive attitude toward workplaces seems ridiculous and inadequate. In contrast, Picasso's painting still strikes remains, as if the 20th century were still ongoing and its mechanisms many of us as not merely contemporary, but futuristic. We are not accus- continuing to operate. Unfortunately, only a few writers avoided this view tomed to seeing faces and bodies fragmented in this manner, and art has – Leonardo Sinisgalli, Primo Levi, Italo Calvino, Elio Vittorini – as most certainly preceded its times, achieving a dynamism that even today seems intellectuals have taken an anti-modern stance. projected toward what is to come.

My point is that when speaking of modernity, we must carefully consider the limitations, accepted meanings, anachronisms, and objective dys- costs, and the benefits we have reaped (the latter routinely omitted) not functions that we encounter. This theoretical knot is what makes the concept only risks undermining the debate, but almost always severs it from its of modernity something we have not already surpassed (it is no coincidence historical context. Not only that: it seems to me that in Italy, alongside the we say we live in a post-modern, or even a post-post-modern world). Indeed, enthusiasm that coincided with its post-war economic miracle – a few it urges us to continue reflecting on the topic. Establishing what "modernity" years in which the country progressively regained its youth and, with was, what it is, and what it will become enables us to orient ourselves in a speed and agility, seized the moment - many intellectuals delayed dialogue that unfolds over time without losing its future prospects.

Inyournewbook, Lamodernità malintesa [Misunderstood Modernity], longer keep pace with the times. you cite a quote by Fernand Léger that I know you hold dear. It appears in a chapter called "A matter of trains and hats", in which you discuss how, in the many artists, publicly declared their skepticism, and even outright opposition, early 20th century, the train was a disruptive symbol of progress and modernity. to the new modes of production and social life. Little did they realize that, Was it the direct result of a process of industrialization that emancipated indi-speaking of trains, as a nation and society it was imperative that we not miss the train instead have marked the beginning of a slow retreat from an Arcadian This shortsighted attitude, if not utter misunderstanding, is absent in the civilization based on agriculture and craftsmanship?

tion: is it the train's fault if a hat blows away? Do we need to rein it in as it speeds that plastic was the 20th century material that, democratically and economimajority of instances over the past century and in the new millennium as well, flip the argument on its head: at the time, we could not have foreseen the train has been scapegoated. It is the train's fault, say the authors of stories needing to keep the potential harm in mind. And without plastic, the factory, set in factories. This is the train's symbolic function, if it is true that progress and technology, we would not have achieved the ease of our current era. and technology (or shall we say more precisely, modernity) have ruined the landscape, destroyed the environment, and made humankind a miserable inhabitant of what T.S. Eliot called the waste land, a place of desolation.

But this does not mean that when a hat blows away as a train passes that our reaction should be: stop the train! The train can keep going.

STEFANO SALIS: This evening, on my way here for our conversa- What we need to do is modify the hats. However, to get to this point, to must envision a compromise between the need to build, improve, and pro-

Exhaustively defining modernity and postmodernity is a singular time, were not exactly "front and center". I mention it not because this challenge. Modernity is as much the invention of the wheel as the discovery book predicted the future, which has brought surprises no one could have of America, shifts that took place in different historical periods. However, foreseen, but because it traced several distinguishing aspects of moder- there is a widely acknowledged fact: at the close of the 20th century, the nity, at least as they have been perceived in Italy. For our conversation, I concept of the factory changed and, together with divestment and globalihave brought a series of images, but even without seeing them a simple zation, a new era began, the era "after" modernity. The reaction from modernity's narrators – the intellectuals, writers, and philosophers who Let me give you an example with two objects that are precisely the have described its phenomena – did not always depict its complexity.

My impression as I read the books published in the last two decades

SS: This problem with our perception of modernity's processes, mourning for the passing era or for production systems that could no

What is so striking is that nearly all the writers, thinkers, and also riduals who possessed only the strength of their arms, their labor? Or might the arriving train, which would continue forward whether or not we were on it. point of view of the general public, with its basic common sense.

Today, for example, it is fashionable to condemn the use of toxic GIUSEPPE LUPO: Léger's expression should be rewritten as a ques- and indestructible plastic that pollutes the environment. However, we forget across the plain? In my opinion, no. I believe the problem is another, yet in the cally, enabled the public to enter the age of modernity. Of course we cannot

how we approach it.

traditional methods.

grasped this: it is not enough to produce and make profits. They need to engage in a in response to modernity's revelations that converge around manufacturing, the most dialogue with the realm of ideas, with the language of art, and even with proposals from widespread attitude among intellectuals has been that of denial and outrage, as if visionaries. Put simply, I believe that apparently unrelated worlds must collaborate, provided modernity were a fault to avoid, a structural error that only returning to an uncontaminated they respect and cooperate with each other. However, the books I read these days give me the impression that today's intellectual

This is not to say that all this has not happened before, nor that it should not con- harbinger of death. tinue. However, this 20th century way of thinking, speaking, and acting cannot be our only guide If indeed the 20th century bears the stigmata of its conflict with tradition – as the for understanding the workplace in an era that has long superseded the 20th century. It may avant-garde movements have pictured it - its rebel nature and its revolutionary furies seem paradoxical, but this is what we read in the writings of Ritanna Armeni, Simona Baldanzi, cannot help but instigate an irreparable process of rupture. The more all trace of the Gianfranco Bettin, Angelo Ferracuti, Alberto Prunetti, Stefano Valenti, and Massimiliano human is abolished, the more the sacred dimension is lost. Then literature's only task Terrarossa. We are and remain an anti-modern country, most likely influenced by Benedetto becomes the illusion of keeping alive a relic of the past, taking refuge in that veneer of Croce's philosophy that encouraged avoiding technology, rather than embracing it. eternal secularism that is the realm of memory. We are anti-modern because the temptation to return to a pastoral Arcadia persists

in the dreams of far too many writers dissatisfied with our current reality and alarmed by SS: The dimension of memory, to conclude, is sacrosanct, provided it is not the advance of progress. Unfortunately, this point of view risks poisoning the wells: all-absorbing. Constantly asking the question – what is modernity and what is it becoming – it confuses reason with the sophisms of an abstract and unrealistic rhetoric, and it derails is a cultural endeavor that requires great self-awareness. Particularly when it is undertaken by companies that must continually make sense of this paradigm shift through innovation, experattempts to mitigate negative outcomes, thereby causing worse consequences. Faced with modernity's perils, we have two alternatives: negate modernity (but can we imentation, design, and production. Without disregarding the past, they must create truly renounce the benefits it offers us?) or seek to redeem its errors and imperfections. new ways of life that help us adapt to our era, while accompanying us toward the future. This is the true challenge on which our future depends.

SS: Another dimension comes into play here that I want to reiterate. We are looking at Uliano Lucas' famous photograph of a laborer, a migrant from Southern Italy holding a cardboard suitcase, with the stunning Pirelli Tower, the utmost in innovation at the time, rising starkly behind him. Therefore: the Italian South versus Milan, the labor of the soil versus that of the city, the land versus tram tracks, an anthropological mutation underway before our very eyes. Yet I ask myself and you: what is the modern element in this image? Undoubtedly, it is Gio Ponti's glass and cement "Pirellone", a slender cuttlebone owering over Italy's most industrialized city. But I assert that it is the person standing in the foreground who truly embodies modernity. Even if constrained by circumstances, this individual chose to begin a new life under an unknown sky - impelled by necessity, but also attracted by a better future.

Post-war Italy launched itself thanks to these individuals, who hailed from a Born in 1970 in Sant'Antioco in Sandinia, Stefano Salis is a journalist for II Sole 24 Ore where he edits Commenti, the opinion and editorial page. In the newspaper's Sunday culture insert, he writes frequently on bibliophilia, publishing, Dark Age that was not coming, as Vacca would have said, but already present. In exchange art, design, and literature. He has led conferences on these topics around the world and taught university courses for the disruption of traditional, at times millennial, paradigms, they leapt headfirst into the on journalism at the Università di Milano and the Università Cattolica. fano's contributions in book form include curating (with Barnaba Fornasetti) Piero Fornasetti: Certi paraventi future: a future not only filled with electrical appliances - washing machines and electric sono stati disegnati due volte (pub. Henry Beyle). His latest book is Sulla Scacchiera (pub. Franco Maria Ricci). ovens, stoves and telephones, later the television – but one that gave their children access Pending publication is a book on Roger Caillois' stones (Franco Maria Ricci). He is on the advisory committee of FMR magazine. to education, liberating them from a societal condition that had become far too obsolete.

GL: The conversation around what "modern" means can occur at two levels: on one hand, ordinary people, living each day in a technological euphoria; on the other, a including L'Americano di Celenne, La Carovana Zanardelli, Viaggiatori di Nuvole, L'Albero di Stanze, Breve Storia del Mio Silenzio, and Tabacco Clan (2022). dialogue between intellectuals that implies a judgment on technology and on our country's His most recent book is La Modernità Malintesa (2023). He has published many essays on the culture of the 20th altered anthropology. Observing the panorama of literature, film, and art, it is easy to think century and industrial modernism, and he collaborates with the cultural sections of II Sole 24 Ore.

Shifting focus to a topic directly affecting us today, this argument is also valid society stratified from highest to lowest. or artificial intelligence. In theory, the dialogue between productivity and cultural evolution is still a path we can follow. Here as well, it depends on our approach.

is either fired or felled. This does not necessarily mean it is true, except in rare cases.

The real problem is that many of today's authors who write about industrial labor have never nifestation of a capitalism to be opposed on ideological grounds. easily grasp them) but that do not correspond to reality.

How this anomaly came to be is destined to make us ponder. However, one pable of recognizing the potential generated by the proliferation of industrial systems, but the suspicion remains that this attitude originated in some kind of misconception - a misunderstanding generated by prejudices descending from an ideological humus, a political culture social classes and exploitation, the battlefield of a cynical and unscrupulous middle class.

GL: Undoubtedly, circumstances continue to change very rapidly, which leads that this attitude originates in a distant ideological prejudice that distinguishes a priori to tools, methods, and systems becoming prematurely obsolete, despite having been between "poetry and not-poetry", as Benedetto Croce posited in his Estetica (1902) [pubcutting edge not long before. Yet this is the price we pay on the altar of technology, which lished in English in 1909 as Aesthetic: As science of expression and general linguistic]. has the power to both aid and damn humanity. It depends on how we use technology and Croce's philosophy made this sharp distinction based on a principal of exclusivity, conforming to a tendency to segregate, creating hierarchies that would inevitably lead to a

The entire 20th century can and must be understood as an extended struggle between contamination and purity, between destructive impulses and the restoration of A company that seeks to align itself with its current context cannot base its strategy on order. On this watershed, the intellectuals could have played their hand. Choosing which side to take would have had ethical and political value, giving meaning to their individual If ever there was a time for culture and humanism, it is now. Entrepreneurs have efforts, as well as to the country's prospects. Yet, despite being aware of its significance, natural state can erase completely.

They deemed it better to reject the unknown rather than to accompany its trajecefforts are leading to outdated points of view. Even the narratives about our present times tory toward a hyper-technological dimension that would have implicated coming to retain many elements of anti-modernity. Factories continue to be characterized as terms with machines, debasing themselves to the same level of objects enslaved to de-humanizing places that promote drudgery, exploitation, and suffering. A factory worker utilitarian logic. Little mattered that, already, these tools had indelibly modified our perception of time and our relationship to reality. The machines' fault was being the ma-

set foot in a factory. Consequently, they write according to old 20th century coordinates, They have even become the principal cause of human suffering, the suffering of the century, adhering to stereotypes that the publishing industry encourages (because readers can as portrayed by Simone Weil in La condition ouvrière (1951, translated in Italy by Fortini in 1952) [transl. The Worker's Condition].

That the 20th century was a century running away from itself – to the extent that thing is certain: not only has there been, and continues to be, an intellectual culture inca- it seems difficult to characterize, if not undefinable – underscores the challenges of discussing a chaotic, pluralistic era, the majority of whose voices are dissonant. The fact that we mistrust any attempt to neatly describe the 20th century is a symptom of its complexity. Walter Benjamin understood this mid-century, identifying its key in Paul Klee's Angelus that sees the factory as capitalism's most inhuman icon, the heart of the conflict between Novus [New Angel]. This "Angel of History" is a creature without mythology (or with a mythology falsified by horror), a cross-eyed monster that is both witness of calamity and

> Born in Lucania, Giuseppe Lupo lives in Lombardy, where he teaches Theory and History of Modernity in Literature at the Università Cattolica in Milan. In 2018, he won the Premio Viareggio with Gli Anni del Nostro Incanto and, in 2011, the Selezione Campiello prize with *L'Ultima Sposa di Palmira*. Giuseppe is the author of numerous other novels,

































MODERNITY

A FLUID CONCEPT: COMPLEXITY AND TURNOVER.

MARCO SAMMICHELI IN CONVERSATION WITH EMILIA TERRAGNI.

TalkingAbout 2023 LONDON, 30.11.23

who interpreted modernity from a contemporary perspective as well as It turned out being an incredible journey through our publications, and the challenges it offers, were an editorial director, Emilia Terragni, publisher while we were walking through the exhibition, we noticed a fantastic story of Phaidon, a British publishing house dedicated to the arts and the promo- behind each book. tion of creativity, and a museum director, Marco Sammicheli, superintendent of the Museum of Italian Design at the Triennale Milano, a century-old MARCO SAMMICHELI: As we can see, modernity is in all disciplines. It is institution established to give an international home to the disciplines of part our life. It's everywhere. I personally think that is very interesting to talk design, applied arts and everyday life.

preting their time and telling the story of its transformation into new artefacts, on design, we are really bringing modernity to another level. processes of corporate evolution.

Exhibitions, publications and podcasts, architecture, gardens and shops, nious coexistence. But we also have to be more flexible. on bringing the arts and everyday life together.

ocratic, and bringing new useful object to a larger audience.

But modernity is actually an action. It's an attitude. It anchored to can never stop. his progressive principles, but it's always in constant evolution. It is much more than a style, even if it is often confounded with a style: in my opinion, modernity is an approach to life. Modernity is about being innovative, both in lines, but these are just tools constantly evolving. They stay, they go away, he sense of the thinking and in the making. It is about being ahead of the 🛛 they come back. What is important is always to have a clear idea, a mission time and timeless, at the same time. Modernity is intellectual and cultural a purpose. Our main mission as a publishing house is really to deliver timeframe, characterized by progress, by reason, but also by collaboration. interesting contents in an innovative way, as we have seen in the past, the

science, politics, or even social organization. It is very challenging to stay also to invent a new concept. modern when you get to a certain age. I am not talking about myself, only. very principle of modernity, of being innovative, democratic, functional, deliver contemporary content in a digital era? Of course, being a publisher, London for our 100th anniversary: it was very interesting because we went people think that are quite obsolete.

What follows is a conversation. On this occasion, the people back to our archives to look for the books that somehow made our history.

about modernity in the context of design, since design is one of the most The exchange between Terragni and Sammicheli provided an powerful embodiments of modernity. Between exhibitions and books, this opportunity to narrate how the Phaidon publishing house and the is exactly what we do, since we have the chance to do that in many differ-Triennale cultural institution have, for the past century, succeeded in inter- ent ways. And when we write books about design or we realize exhibitions

new languages and new knowledge. Books and exhibitions, research and The purpose of design is always to be innovative, democratic, progressive. trends have become commodities to offer their communities moments in And at its best, design solves problem and is trying to build a better and which to meet and reflect and opportunities to engage in discussion. more sustainable future. In doing so, it really needs to establish a new rela-A venue, the museum, and objects, namely books, have become increas- tionship, more responsible, more respectful, and even more dynamic with ingly widespread and popular educational platforms that are crucial in the nature, exploring innovative ways to minimize the harm to the environment.

We have at our disposal well-planned urban spaces, sustainable infrastructures, and thoughtful design that really can contribute to a harmo-

architects, designers and artists, follow one another in the Q&A session Real progress has to do with respect for nature: when I talk about nature, between Terragni and Sammicheli, drawing on both historical cases and linclude both human beings and avoiding waste and pollution. But while contemporary anecdotes. They offered viewers of the London conversa- the concept of modernity is in constant evolution, its mission is always to tion and today's readers vivid scenarios of cultural design, tangible work for progress, quality, social justice, and our modern society has actions to reach out to the public, and experimental activities all focused come along from where we were in the past century. For sure, we know that there is still a lot to do.

If we think about an institution like the Triennale or a publishing EMILIA TERRAGNI: In the context of design, modernity traditionally house like Phaidon, and the role that an exhibition or a book can have in refers to particularly approach or style that was more or less shaped at the bringing the arts to a wide audience, we understand how important is the beginning of the 20th century. Modern design is characterized by starting from role that these exhibitions or books have in making culture even more traditional shape and forms, but it becomes an embrace of new technology, available. There is always an interesting tension between being in connew form, functionalism. Modern design is also considered to be global, dem-stant evolution and being faithful to our principles and values: in the moment we stop evolving, we stop being relevant. For this reason, we

ET: People think that modernity is all about new materials, simple story of art, the art books. The other important issue is always how to Modernity involves the desire to embrace new ideas, new values, organize the materials: in the end, it is all about design. Sometimes there new approaches to very different aspects of life, in the fields of art, philosophy, is no need to change. But occasionally the best way of being modern is

Especially when you are dealing with books, you really ask your-Phaidon this year is turning 100 years old: it was founded in 1923 on the self the question, are books still relevant? Are books still the best way to open to embrace new forms and new technologies, and very... collabora- my answer is yes, but that doesn't mean that we do not question about it tive. All these principles continue to influence what we do. Actually, it is every day. The technology maybe changed, but the support is more or not only Phaidon that is 100 years old, but it is also Triennale Milano. We less the same. It is very interesting to see how you can deliver very share the same birth date. We organized an exhibition in New York and in contemporary and relevant content through books: an item that a lot of

Ρ

R

F

We did this show, we did exhibition at Triennale, and we were aware that this figure was not probably as popular as could be, you know, an Italian maestro of design. So we invited a young journalist, Francesco Costa, to develop a podcast. And this podcast was an incredible success, but was also an access for a completely diverse audience that were coming at the beginning of this. So we had a lot of people that were Marco Sammicheli is curator of the design, fashion, crafts sector at Triennale Milano and Director of Museo del Design Italiano. After graduating in Communication Science from the University of Siena and specializing in History interested in the exhibition. So at the beginning, whether, you know, people that they of Design at the Bauhaus in Weimar, he earned a doctorate in design and technology for the development of cultura were into illustration, people that they knew, Sol Steinberg. And then later came people heritage at Politecnico di Milano. He curated shows and essays on catalogs for museums in Europe, Latin America and the Middle East. Columnist that they were, okay, we heard about this man because of Francesco podcast. for "Wallpaper*" and for II Sole 24 Ore. He wrote monographs on designers and publications on Milan as an urban So what was actually designed by Triennale as a complementary experience to platform for creative industries.

What we can say about contemporary books is that they are very close and very to understand if the books are still serving the purpose that they are for.

I've been at Phaidon for 20 years and I can say that the way in which we do books has and with different formats. actually changed quite a lot. I remember that when we started, we were working on all the editing, the care that you put into it.

do in the present, but also how we can innovate a medium that is actually quite old and physical experience that really makes it a very interesting place. quite obsolete. There is always a tension between innovation, technology, and the fact to have a very different approach in the content.

That is fact checking, proofreading, all of the things that it becomes an obsession, but also a service. And the idea it's real to always have something in which you continues to renovate the concept of the book, but actually at the end of the day, it's not. And I think that's the key to the book. And so, at the end of the day, the book is the book. And you can keep it, it can remind you things, you can enjoy, you can go back, and it's there forever to be enjoyed.

MS: Yeah, I mean it's, I also have an example in this sense because there's not a drop of nostalgia in what we are seeing tonight. No, it's just a combination of factors and different elements. Like two and a half years ago, we did a big, a exhibition on Saul Steinberg. Saul Steinberg for the one that knows this great artist and illustrator is, you know, you are, there's a lot of people obsessed with him, but would say is a niche, it's a group of lovers. Then the rest of the people, maybe they don't even know who he was or if he was an architect or an illustrator or if he was European or American. Yeah.

And he was actually a great Romanian architect that was trained in Milan and then he became probably the most New Yorkese artist in the recent history of and developed, the author of incredible New Yorker covers and so on.

the real experience, to the physical experience, it became actually the access and the way that pushed people to the exhibition. So that's how we do it. We also have a lot of people who come to the exhibition to see the exhibition. It's a great way to encourage people to come to Triennale. So as you said, like now there's, you will continue to do books forever.

This also will be the job of Triennale. We won't ever, ever stop to publish catalogs far away at the same time from the Gutenberg Bible. As much as a contemporary book is a and arrange exhibitions and install physical experience in a building because we know contemporary book, it's still a contemporary book. It is very interesting to see how a con- that people, especially Milanese citizens, when it's 6 o'clock on a weekday, they're like, temporary chair is very close and very far away from a Roman chair. It's more or less four what to do? Oh, I can go to Triennale, I can have an aperitivo, and I can see an exhibition, legs, a seat and a back, sometimes three legs, sometimes one leg. For us, it is important or I can come for lunch, and they feel that that is a very familiar space, but it is also a place where there's many, can happen many encounters, let's say, with art, with architecture,

F

That's why I mentioned the podcast. Yeah, I think that's also interesting, because books with Renzo Piano and there was this idea of displaying his work; every three, four years a structure like the Triennale, it's a place where you go, you enter, maybe you go for a specific we were coming up with a new volume with his best works. It was a huge project, if we exhibition, but then you always have any other three or four things that you don't know, you compare it to a modern website: there is no question about it because a digital site can be learn, but it's really the physical experience of going there, and look at things that really updated, and continually changed. This matter pushes us to really rethink the role of the book: enrich you, and the summer was really a very interesting show, because you heard about it, what is really important is not just displaying things, but the narrative, the storytelling, the you knew it, you saw it, it reminds you of the New Yorker, but it was not really a mainstream designer or illustrator, but a lot of people went there because it wasn't the Triennale, because The core of the issue is the tension between what is already there, what we can it was in a space, and then discovered it, so there is this continuous chain of events and of

And I think that's what's so interesting about the Triennale, because it's a place that the book is immortal, is forever: a very interesting concept is also that once a book is where you can learn and have knowledge that maybe you wouldn't have had if you don't printed, is there with us forever. Every mistake will be there forever, and this is a way of really stumble into it, and this is the beauty of this, and it's also the beauty when you are in a working. So the ephemeral of the digital world is fantastic, but it also allowed people to be bookshop, in which you just see something that you have never, never heard about it, and a little bit sloppy because it's there and then it's not there anymore. So there is this, and you are just attracted because it's a curious cover, or because it's a strange title, or for many then it's not there anymore. And I think that is the permanence of the book really oblige us other reasons, or because that day you are in a specific mood. But it's really this physical experience. And then it's not about being against the digital, or the digital is a fantastic thing.

> Emilia Terragni is Associate Publisher for Architecture, Design and Food titles at Phaidon Press – the world's premier publisher of books on the visual arts. She worked previously as a Curator at the Barragan Foundation and Vitra sign Museum and studied art history at the University Ca' Foscari in Venice.

> At Phaidon, she founded the design list and then went on to create and develop the culinary list, publishing books that combine lifestyle, food, and hospitality, while being beautiful objects in their own right.

























MODERNITY

NEW VISIONS, THE MODERNITY OF TOMORROW.

CARLO RATTI IN CONVERSATION WITH JOANN GONCHAR.

TalkingAbout 2023 NEW YORK, 08.02.24

to overpass the idea of modernity we had in the XX century: "tabula rasa", people as part of the complexity of cities, to design better ones. destroy everything, get rid of everything. Think of Le Corbusier in the kind of modernity, to get into a better future.

So, what about the cities? People say that cities are growing, deal with data on a global scale (e.g. GDPR in Europe). but they aren't growing uniformly. In Japan, Europe, and the United needs as they arow.

seemed to be problems for the future, are now problems for the present. bridging the natural and the artificial. Temperature rising; overpopulation...

mountains, but these days I can't bring myself to see how the glaciers that living organisms. Furthermore, we can use nature as building blocks for dominated them are diminishing – in the last two years we lost as much what we are designing. How can we get into a dimension that is a coevoice in the European Alps as the previous 20. These problems are acceler- lution between the natural and the artificial? The key point is the concept of ating and architecture is at the core of that because it deals with the "intelligence": the natural intelligence, the artificial intelligence, the collective built environment.

We know that cities are responsible for the majority of global emissions, and we also know that the architecture that shaped them has ings, create energy, and also provide spaces for people to come together been part of the problem. I think that now architecture can be part of the and connect. Cities are about people, as I said in the beginning. When we solution. What comes to my mind is what Richard Buckminster Fuller said – as CRA-Carlo Ratti Associati – completed a big project in Singapore about "Utopia or Oblivion". If all architects think about is designing door called CapitaSpring we not only built somewhere that merged natural handles, well, it'll be oblivion. But if architecture properly confronts the and artificial, but we created a gathering space for people, in the tropical problems we are facing, then we could make a real difference.

Do we have all the technology that we need, or do we need new ones? the bay of Helsinki, that will sustainably heat the city with batteries for The first thing I would say is that architecture is technology. But technology energy storage and a new public space. Gathering people in a place like is not the solution. As English architect Cedric Price asked in 1966, that allows them to learn about infrastructure, heating systems, and climate "Technology is the answer, but what is the question?" His quip is just as change as well. The Hot Heart is the biggest urban decarbonization project relevant today as it was then. We have all the technology we need, but it in Europe - so we have to involve people: the public dimension is always is up to human beings to fix our problems. And climate change is a crucial crucial to fostering collective intelligence. challenge, together with social challenges... and I don't think they are just about technology. They're about us.

of Technology. In that project, we showed a different way to look at cities, one of us to exit our personal "echo chambers". because data helped us to see not only the physical city - bricks, stones,

The future does not belong to the cities. The future belongs to roads, etc. - but a city made of flows, of connections, of people. For humans, and humans love cities. What if we think about a new way to look the first time, Ildefonso Cerdà's dream was a reality. Analyzing data allows at cities, and a new way to approach the concept of modernity? We have us to understand and describe relationships and connections between

I am not saying that data could solve everything. We know there is 1920s and his "Plan Voisin" for rethinking Paris: here we can see a picture a huge danger in collecting data. When we did "Real Time Rome" we had of an architect behaving like an omnipotent god calling forth the floods to less data than today. It's no mystery that with a smartphone in our pockets, we wipe the earth clean and build something new in its place. But architects collect tons and tons of data every single day: where we are, what we are lookaren't gods – and we can't think like that anymore. We have to forget this ing at, how we are moving (by foot, by bike, by car)... information that goes in "rip it up and start again" attitude. Instead, my idea is to find a different servers in Silicon Valley, creating a "digital twin" of ourselves. How we allow data to be collected is a big problem and we need to ask for a better way to

This discussion needs to be consistent, but I think many dangers States, cities are not growing – and the population is declining. So, are not related to the study of city architecture. First of all, because we are are cities booming? Yes. But not everywhere. When we hear about dealing with anonymous flows, and secondly, because this information new neighborhoods rising around the world, we do not hear of neighbor- allows architects to see the built environment in a non-monolithic way. hoods, but slums or favelas. So we have to think about how cities There is a big group of people – in academia and architecture schools – are growing, and what architecture as a profession can address their who want to bring nature into the building world. Others are looking to open source to build a better environment. These two paths get to We know the planet is spinning out of control, and what once the same point and I think the two approaches have to work together,

What is a smart building but one that can respond like a living Let me give a little example – ever since I was a child, I would go to the thing? Thanks to sensors and artificial intelligence, buildings become like intelligence. It's crucial to think of them not as different things but as a whole.

Many projects follow this idea. Projects that create smarter build-

forest we designed in the middle of the skyscraper.

Thinking about modernity also means to think about technology. We are also developing the Hot Heart, a system of floating islands outside

People need to come together. And we have proof that when people come together, interesting things happen - and without that, How do we start? By collecting information. Ildefonso Cerdà, the we lose those things. Before COVID we did an experiment at MIT: we visionary urbanist who designed and expanded Barcelona, hoped in collected data from emails – anonymously, of course – to analyze networks his 1867 book, the General Theory of Urbanization, that the future would and connections in a public space. Then, when COVID struck, something be something different – through data. Today, looking into data is part out of the ordinary happened: we were forced to remove the public space. of our daily routine. But this wasn't the case in 2006 when we started Networks and connections dramatically decrease when people do not a project called "Real Time Rome" at our lab at Massachusetts Institute come together. Physical space is very important because it allows each

Schumpeter once said that innovation is about doing things that don't exist yet, Barcelona the buildings are all mid-rise. We can have a big density, a very exciting city and a lot of urban life, even with doing all things in a new way. And so I think the first thing I suggest to students is to low rise and Barcelona is one example of that. One other thing about the discussion over imagine cities as a canvas, a living lab where we can use all possible creativity. And I a vertical or non-vertical city is what the great Jan Gehl wrote: the closer you are to the know that what hasn't been done before is much more difficult, but I also think it is much street, the more you're connected to public space. Once, there was a psychological more fun. Maybe that's actually what remains to all of us in a world in which with a press experiment, to verify how far you can live above the street and still feel connected with of a button you can collect all the prior intelligence and immediately turn it into a sketch the city – if you live on the 17th floor, or above... well, the connection is lost! The bottom line or tomorrow into plans to build a building. is: stop measuring density with the high rise or low rise, sometimes you can be very Am I optimistic? I would answer in the words of the great Karl Popper, who wrote dense in low rise. Also, let's remember the importance of connection with other people. optimism is a duty... and is a duty because the future is not predetermined. When you think about a building, you want to make it more sustainable. To do this, you don't need to follow regulations that sometimes force you to do something that's not necessarily optimized. Things have changed in a smarter way in the last 50 years, but I don't think we need a new set of rules. Adding rules is like generating entropy: every time you add things, you remove degrees of freedom and you end up in a condition where you are no longer able to do a lot of positive things. And this happens because a new set of things, a different system, sometimes is dragged from what comes from the past.

People today can work everywhere, but they still want to be in cities. A lot of interesting things are still happening in cities, including interesting, spontaneous, unpre-An architect and engineer by training, Professor Carlo Ratti teaches at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology dictable conversations that can't happen if we just connect via Zoom. According to (MIT), where he directs the Senseable City Lab, and is a founding partner of the international design and innovation sociologists, you can pick all your connections and put them in two buckets that they call office Carlo Ratti Associati A leading voice in the debate on new technologies' impact on urban life and design, Carlo has co-authored over "strong ties" and "weak ties". What are they? A strong tie is a friend who's also a friend of 500 publications, including The City of Tomorrow (Yale University Press, with Matthew Claudel), and holds several your friends: I'm person A, you are person B, I know person C... and now B and C know technical patents. each other. If you draw this on a piece of paper it comes out as a perfect triangle. A weak Joann Gonchar, FAIA, LEED AP, is deputy editor at Architectural Record. She joined RECORD in 2006, after working tie, instead, is a person who's not a friend of your friends, but actually becomes a bridge for eight years at its sister publication, Engineering News-Record. Before starting her career as a journalist, Joann rked for several architecture firms and spent three years in Kobe, Japan, with the firm Team Zoo, Atelier Iruka. to another community. Getting back to our MIT research: when you remove physical She earned a Master of Architecture degree from the University of Pennsylvania and a Bachelor of Arts from Brown space, weak ties disappear. As you can imagine, weak ties are very important because University. She is licensed to practice architecture in New York State.

empty space in the middle. With simple geometrical analysis, you can see that given the new people, and new ideas. same amount of surface – the same amount of volume, the same amount of square feet, or the same amount of people, with actually much lower rise.

sity as Manhattan, but while in Manhattan, you get very, very tall, very, very thin, and in doesn't work now is part of that.

We have to work with what we have - with the buildings we have. Six months ago, I wrote an opinion piece for the New York Times with my colleague Ed Glaeser, the Chairman of the Department of Economics at Harvard. We called our idea "The Playground City". We started from the empty space in New York buildings and the fact that people still want to come together. The towers are empty but life in the streets of Manhattan is still really, really busy - because the city still performs its functions.

es were invented almost 10,000 years ago as a way for people to come together, but cities changed so much over the years: they were markets; they were religious places; they were industrial places. That's why Ed and I think that we are at the beginning of a tipping point. We will see the 20th century cities changing their skin once again, but they will still be the magnetic force that bonds us together.

Another topic we have to deal with is the way we use space in cities. Do we need they are the one that exposes us to new ideas! If you've only got strong ties you get things skyscrapers? How will we use empty ones? Let's take two completely different cities: going around in circles and you reinforce your echo chamber. Weak ties bring creativity New York and Barcelona. Which one is the densest? As Leslie Martin once analyzed in a and they can challenge our preconceptions. And this happens in physical space, like the paper, when you imagine filling a plot of land with a building, you have two options. one we're in today. You meet somebody you didn't expect, and this person becomes a The first option is a pavilion, when you build in the center of the plot with empty space bridge toward new ideas, creativity... And maybe this is the key function of the architecture around. The second option is a courtyard, when you build around the periphery and leave of tomorrow: bringing us together in a way that increases the randomness of encountering

R

This is why I think the modernity we're looking at today is much more complex. meters – if you build in the pavilion shape, you end up with a building that is very, very tall. Modernity is more about networks and is more about something that will be similar to But then if you build in the courtyard shape, in the same amount of square meters, square natural evolution. Natural evolution never does "tabula rasa": it is something that keeps on evolving, trying things, making mistakes, doing things again, making new mistakes, This is an interesting example because the difference between Manhattan and Barcelona and so on. What we can learn from nature also is a process: a process that never throws is that the latter is organized in courtyards – Barcelona has more or less the same den- away anything but actually keeps on changing and looking at what works, and what













CONTENTS

3-4	AIR SYSTEM PURE, PARTITION WALL SYSTEM structure: 301 peltro glass: 63 grigio trasparente	21	AIR SYSTEM PURE, PARTITION WALL SYSTEM structure: 301 peltro glass 63: grigio trasparente	39
6-8-9	AIR PURE, DOOR structure: 301 peltro glass: 63 grigio trasparente MODULOR, BOISERIE structure: 304 platino wood cladding: 701 rovere taiga		ALIANTE, DISPLAY UNIT structure: 303 bronzo base, top, drawer unit and back panel: 15 noce glass internal shelves: 63 grigio trasparente glass: 67 riflettente chiaro lower top in synthetic leather: 153 castoro	41
	SELF, SUSPENDED CABINET structure: 13 nero top, sides and fronts: 46 nero lucido flap door cabinet: 00 trasparente cabinet internal sides and back panel: 102 nero opaco cabinet internal base in	22-23 24-25	BACK TO MODERNITY - PAST Stefano Salis in conversation with Giuseppe Lupo AIR SYSTEM PURE, PARTITION WALL SYSTEM structure: 301 peltro glass 63: grigio trasparente	42-43-45
	synthetic leather: 154 argilla RADIUS, DOOR structure: 304 platino door panel: 701 rovere taiga		AIR PURE, DOOR structure: 301 peltro glass: 63 grigio trasparente	
	SIXTY, COFFEE TABLE structure: 304 platino marble top: 198 calacatta opaco glass lower top: 137 platino opaco	26	MOODBOARDS 160 similpelle miele 187 verde lepanto 60 rete alluminio 148 verde scuro opaco T101 rafia natural	
7	MOODBOARDS 304 platino 891 acidato taiga 159 similpelle etna 701 rovere taiga	27-28-29	SELF BOLD, SIDEBOARD structure: 13 nero fronts: 700 tinto ebano taiga	46-47
	306 terracotta lucido 198 calacatta opaco		MANTA, TABLE structure: 318 nickel grigio marble top: 187 verde lepanto	49
15	AIR SYSTEM PURE, PARTITION WALL SYSTEM structure: 301 peltro glass 63: grigio trasparente AIR PURE, DOOR	30-31	RIALTO, MIRRORS structure: 304 platino glass: 55 specchio	
	structure: 301 peltro glass: 63 grigio trasparente	32-33	RIALTO, MIRRORS structure: 318 nickel grigio glass: 55 specchio	50
16	MOODBOARDS 304 platino 17 noce sahara 191 nero marquinia opaco 64 riflettente grigio	38-40	LONG ISLAND, TABLE structure: 318 nickel grigio glass top: 402 grafite diamante	
17-18-19	490 diamante trasparente rafia magnolia AIR REGULAR, DOOR structure: 15 noce		ZENIT, BOOKCASE structure: 13 nero glass shelves: 63 grigio trasparente fronts: 700 tinto ebano taiga marble top: 183 noir saint laurent	51
	glass: 490 diamante trasparente RIALTO, COFFEE TABLE structure: 301 peltro glass top: 63 grigio trasparente wooden lower top: 15 noce trays: 15 noce, lining 153 castoro		SIXTY, BOOKCASE structure: 75 amaranto glass: 75 amaranto lucido	

74

MOODBOARDS 302 grafite 700 tinto ebano taiga 61 rete inox	52-53	AIR, DOOR structure: 19 rovere termotrattato glass: 03 acidato grigio
402 grafite diamante TT03 tortora	55	RIALTO, TV CABINET structure: 83 piombo glass top: 63 grigio trasparente
MODULOR, BOISERIE structure: 303 bronzo wood cladding: 700 tinto ebano taiga		fronts: 700 tinto ebano taiga lower top: 710 tinto ebano
RADIUS, DOOR structure: 303 bronzo		RIALTO, 6 DRAWERS CABINET structure: 13 nero glass fronts: 846 nero taiga
door panel: 700 tinto ebano taiga RIALTO COFFEE TABLE	60-62-63	COVER, STORAGE SYSTEM structure and handle: 83 piombo
structure: 318 nickel grigio marble top: 198 calacatta opaco		doors: 127 piombo opaco, 63 grigio trasparente synthetic leather accessories: 160 miele
RIALTO COFFEE TABLE structure: 13 nero glass top: 102 nero opaco		shelves: 63 grigio trasparente RADIUS, DOOR structure: 83 piombo
RIALTO COFFEE TABLE structure: 318 nickel grigio		door panel: 127 piombo opaco
glass top: 63 grigio trasparente RIALTO COFFEE TABLE		ALTAIR, DISPLAY UNIT structure: 83 piombo fronts: 127 piombo opaco
structure: 318 nickel grigio marble top: 191 nero marquinia opaco		top: 67 riflettente chiaro pull-out trays and open modules: 07 olmo with lining in synthetic leather 160 miele
BACK TO MODERNITY - PRESENT		
Marco Sammicheli in conversation with Emilia Terragni.	61	MOODBOARDS 14 brown 503 bronzo sahara
RIALTO, WRITING DESK		15 noce
structure: 301 peltro		06 fibra argento
top: 15 noce glass shelf: 63 grigio trasparente		T115 rafia stone
fronts: 15 noce	64-65	BACK TO MODERNITY - FUTURE
desk pad and drawer: synthetic leather 153 castoro		Carlo Ratti in conversation with Joann Gonchar.
MOODBOARDS 302 grafite	66-67	COVER, STORAGE SYSTEM structure and handle: 304 platino
154 similpelle argilla		doors: rafia desert
710 tinto ebano 813 blu scuro taiga		shelves: 63 grigio trasparente synthetic leather accessories 157 arena
184 crystal grey		Synthetic leather accessories for arena
O3 acidato grigio	68-69-71	ALTAIR SLIM, DISPLAY UNIT
RIALTO, BAR CABINET		structure: 301 peltro glass top: 67 riflettente chiaro
structure: 75 amaranto doors: 807 amaranto taiga		fronts: 134 peltro opaco pull-out trays 07 olmo with lining in synthetic leather 160 miele
AIR, DOOR	70.70	
structure: 19 rovere termotrattato glass: 03 acidato grigio	72-73	KANJI CARPET Ivory
FRANCIS		

FRANCIS structure: 318 nickel grigio glass top: 63 grigio trasparente



interior architects | design brand stores

Margaretenstraße 93 | A-1050 Wien https://rimadesio-wien.at +43 1 306 35 41 rimadesio@gruenbeck.co.at Concept, art direction and graphic project: Juma

Photos: Federico Cedrone 6, 8-9, 17, 18-19, 27, 28-29, 38, 40-41, 51, 52-53, 60, 62-63

Leonardo Pelucchi 3, 4-5, 15, 21, 24-25, 30-31, 32-33, 42-43, 45, 49, 55, 66-67, 68-69, 71, 72-73

Ambra Crociani 7, 16, 26, 39, 50, 61

Render images: Suprema unlimited reality 3, 15, 21, 24-25, 30-31, 42-43, 67, 68-69

Translation from the Italian: Laura Mary Cincotta 22-23

Styling: Simona Silenzi Studio 6, 8-9, 38, 40-41 Gaia Marchesini and Giordano Sarno 17-18, 19, 27-28, 29,51, 52-53, 60, 62-63

Thanks to:

Amini Carpets, Aponteboretti, Arnolfo di Cambio, B&B Italia, Bitossi Ceramiche, Carl Hansen & Søn, Egidio Milesi, Emil, Etel Milano, Gardeco, Kasthall, Knoll, Lanerossi, Living Divani, Luceplan, Maxalto, Maru Gallery, Montillo 1980, Noorstad, Phantom Hands, Raawi, Raumgestalt, Santa & Cole, Secolo, Vitra, Unurgent Argilla.

Films and print: Optima Printed in Italy / April 2024



RIMADESIO SPA Via Furlanelli 96 20833 Giussano (MB) Italy T +39 0362 3171 rimadesio@rimadesio.it

MILANO FLAGSHIP STORE Via Visconti di Modrone 26 20122 Milano Italy T +39 02 76280708 milano@rimadesio.it

LONDON FLAGSHIP STORE 83-85, Wigmore street 1WU 1DL London T +44 2074 862193 london@rimadesio.co.uk

NEW YORK FLAGSHIP STORE 102 Madison Ave New York, NY 10016 T +1 917 388 2650 newyork@rimadesio.us

Patented:

Air System, Altair, Air, Radius, Aliante, Rialto, Maxi, Sail, Soho, Velaria, Stripe, Daimon, Graphis, Zen, Zen frame, Siparium, Moon, Vela, Luxor, Even, Aura, Spin, Link+, Planet, Flat, Alambra, Abacus living, Self, Cover, Zenit, Dress bold, Abacus.

Registered trademarks: Rimadesio, Ecolorsystem, Litech, Siparium, Velaria, Abacus.